

# Consultation on The Town and Country Planning (Scotland) General Permitted Development Amendment Order 2012

## RESPONDENT INFORMATION FORM AND LIST OF QUESTIONS

Please Note this form **must** be returned with your response to ensure that we handle your response appropriately

### 1. Name/Organisation

Organisation Name

Institute of Historic Building Conservation (IHBC) Scotland

Title Mr  Ms  Mrs  Miss  Dr  Please tick as appropriate

Surname

Cartwright

Forename

Richard Hughes

### 2. Postal Address

c/o North Lanarkshire Council		
Fleming House		
Cumbernauld		
Postcode G67 1JW	Phone 01236 632628	Email CartwrightR@northlan.gov.uk

### 3. Permissions - I am responding as...

Individual <input type="checkbox"/>	/	Group/Organisation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<i>Please tick as appropriate</i>		

<b>(a)</b>	Do you agree to your response being made available to the public (in Scottish Government library and/or on the Scottish Government web site)?  <i>Please tick as appropriate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>(b)</b>	Where confidentiality is not requested, we will make your responses available to the public on the following basis <i>Please tick ONE of the following boxes</i>
	Yes, make my response, name and address all available <input type="checkbox"/>
	<i>or</i>
	Yes, make my response available, but not my name and address <input type="checkbox"/>
	<i>or</i>
	Yes, make my response and name available, but not my address <input type="checkbox"/>

<b>(c)</b>	The name and address of your organisation <b>will be</b> made available to the public (in the Scottish Government library and/or on the Scottish Government web site).
	Are you content for your <b>response</b> to be made available?  <i>Please tick as appropriate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

<b>(d)</b>	We will share your response internally with other Scottish Government policy teams who may be addressing the issues you discuss. They may wish to contact you again in the future, but we require your permission to do so. Are you content for Scottish Government to contact you again in relation to this consultation exercise?  <i>Please tick as appropriate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
------------	---

## CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

**Please Note: IHBC is only responding to a limited number of the consultation questions, ie those which touch on areas of our particular expertise.**

### **Q5. With regard to the proposed amendments to existing classes;**

(a) Is the granting of permission, and the restrictions and conditions, clear?

**Yes**

(b) Is the granting of permission, and the restrictions and conditions, reasonable?

**Yes**

(c) Will the controls strike the right balance between removing unnecessary planning applications and protecting amenity?

**Yes**

(d) Please identify and explain any changes to the controls that you think would strike a better balance?

**Comments: IHBC particularly supports the proposed limiting of PD rights for Hill Tracks (Classes 18, 22, 27) including the proposed exemptions for designated heritage or scenic areas.**

### **Part 2. Proposed new classes of permitted development.**

### **Q10. With regard to the proposed new class 7H (Pavement Cafes);**

(a) Is the granting of permission, and the restrictions and conditions, clear?

**Yes**

(b) Is the granting of permission, and the restrictions and conditions, reasonable?

**Yes**

(c) Will the controls strike the right balance between removing unnecessary planning applications and protecting amenity?

**Yes**

(d) Please identify and explain any changes to the controls that you think would strike a better balance?

**No Comments**

### **Q11. With regard to the proposed new class 7G (Access Ramps);**

(a) Is the granting of permission, and the restrictions and conditions, clear?

**Yes**

(b) Is the granting of permission, and the restrictions and conditions, reasonable?

**Yes**

(c) Will the controls strike the right balance between removing unnecessary planning applications and protecting amenity?

**Yes**

(d) Please identify and explain any changes to the controls that you think would strike a better balance?

**Comments: IHBC supports the proposed exclusion of PD rights for Access Ramps for designated heritage or scenic areas.**